SINGLE BENCH

(4)

CF(00)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 5609 OF 2006

Petitioner : Tularam Dadsena, S/o. Shri Maniram Dadsena, aged about 45 years, Occupation Government Servant (Assistant Teacher Govt. Primary School, Jogidipa, Block and District Mahasamund, R/o. Village Patewa, Post Patewa (Pithora) District Mahasamund (C.G.).

P.R. No. 5624/06 Umed Patel 10/10/06

RECEIVED-COPY 10/10/06 Dy. R. of A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

Respondents

1) State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary Department of School Education, DKS Bhavan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).

2) District Education Officer, Mahasamund District Mahasamund (C.G.)

3) Block Education Officer, Block Education Office Mahasamund District Mahasamund (C.G.)

उपर आयुक्त बिलासपुर संभाग

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS etc.

(40)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S)No. 5609 of 2006

Petitioner : Tularam Dadsena

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Miss Sharmila Shinghai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

O R D E R

(13th October, 2006)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. By this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to pay the increments and seniority on the basis of the date of his initial appointments in the light of the decision rendered by the learned State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur in O.A. No. 1452/98 (Harish Chand Singh & others Vs. State of M.P. & others) dated 31.1.2000, O.A.No. 802/98 (Akhilesh Mishra & others Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh & others) and O.A.No. 991/98 (Ramayan Prasad Dwivedi & others Vs. State of M.P. & others).

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner placed on record a copy of the order dated 23.3.2006 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 2577/2003 (NemSingh Thakur and others Vs. State of

(41)

Chhattisgarh & others) and submits that the petitioner is similarly situated, therefore, the similar order may be passed.

3. The case of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Assistant Teacher in the School Education Department on probation for a period of two years with the fixed salary. However, later on after completion of two years probation, the petitioner was regularized but he was not given the regular pay scale. Other similarly situated teachers moved the State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur for regular pay scale, wherein learned Tribunal vide order dated 31.1.2000 allowed the same. The petitioner made several representations to accord the same treatment as he is also similarly situated Assistant Teacher, but the respondents have not paid any heed. Thus, this petition.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the Respondent No. 1 to give similar treatment to the petitioner and the same pay scale which have been sanctioned to other similarly situated teachers on account of the order of the State Administrative Tribunal dated 31.1.2000, to which learned State counsel has no objection.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, in the opinion of this Court the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation within a period of two weeks from today to the respondent No. 1, raising all his grievances and in turn the Respondent No.1 shall decide the said representation in an objective manner within

(42)

a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of such representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner and taking into account the order dated 31.1.2000 passed by the learned State Administrative Tribunal in O.A. No. 1452/98, and if the petitioner stands on the same footings, as the petitioners in the O.A. No. 1452/98 then he be also sanctioned the same pay scale and seniority.

6. This writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the aforesaid direction. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu